1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		TATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:24-CR-247-DAD	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE; FINDINGS AND	
14	ABNER ESTRADA CRUZ,		
15	Defendant.	DATE: October 27, 2025 TIME: 9:30 a.m.	
16		COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd	
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on October 27, 2025.		
21	2. On October 22, 2025, the defendant filed a motion to dismiss the indictment. ECF 28.		
22	3. By this stipulation, the parties now move to continue the status and motion hearing to		
23	November 17, 2025, to set the hearing on the motion to dismiss on that date, and to exclude time		
24	between October 27, 2025, and November 17, 2025, under Local Code T4 and E (pretrial filings). The		
25	parties also request the following briefing schedule:		
26	a) Government's opposition due November 3, 2025; and		
27	b) Defendant's reply due November 10, 2025.		
28	4. The parties agree and stipulate, an	d request that the Court find the following with respect	

- -

- a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes audio, photos, and reports related to multiple transactions and drug seizures. Defense counsel continues to review the evidence, conduct independent investigation, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- b) This case is related to three other cases: 2:24-cr-0096-DAD, 2:23-cr-00103, and 2:24-cr-0246-DAD. Two of these related cases have been continued to the proposed date, November 17, 2025.
- c) In the related case, 2:24-cr-0246-DAD, defendants Yahir Alexander Arteaga Cruz and defendant Aronis Jose Hernandez-Aguilar filed motions to dismiss that closely track the motion filed in the instant case. ECF 49-50.
- d) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- e) The government does not object to the continuance. Government counsel returned to the office from maternity leave on October 16, 2025, and needs time to respond to the motion to dismiss.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 27, 2025 to November 17, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time period is also excludable under 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(1)(2) [Local Code E], due to the pending motions to dismiss the indictment.

Case 2:24-cr-00247-DAD Document 30 Filed 10/23/25 Page 3 of 3

1	5. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
3	must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	Dated: October 22, 2025	ERIC GRANT United States Attorney
6		
7 8		/s/ CAMERON L. DESMOND CAMERON L. DESMOND Assistant United States Attorney
9		Tissistant Cinted States Tittorney
10	Dated: October 22, 2025	/s/ Etan Zaitsu Etan Zaitsu
11		Counsel for Defendant
12		ABNER ESTRADA CRUZ
13		
14		ORDER
15	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the status conference and	
16	hearing on defendant's motion to dismiss is continued to November 17, 2025, at 9:30 a.m. and time is	
17	excluded between October 27, 2025, and November 17, 2025, under Local Code T4 and E (pretrial	
18	filings). In addition, the parties' proposed briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss is adopted.	
19	However, counsel are forewarned that if the defendant's motion to dismiss is denied, no further	
20	continuances of the of the status conference in this case will be granted absent a compelling	
21	showing of good cause.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: October 22, 2025	Dale A. Droyd
24		DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25		CIVILD SIMILS DISTRICT JODGE
26		
27		

28